

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,826	11/03/2006	Herve Le Bihan	Serie 6385	9049	
40582 7590 6407/2910 AIR LIQUIDE USA LLC Intellectual Property 2700 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1800 HOUSTON, TX 77056			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PETTITT, JOHN F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,.			3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/576,826	LE BIHAN, HERVE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John F. Pettitt	3744	

-The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) ☑ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a late than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date to the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box is checked, check either box (a) re (0). ONLY CHECKE BOX (b) WHENTHE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension	ter. In TWO fee (2) as filed,
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment capplication, applicant must finely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) ☑ The period of reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and for the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check lether box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHICH THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension.	ter. In TWO fee (2) as filed,
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment capplication, applicant must finely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) ☑ The period of reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and for the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check lether box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHICH THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension.	ter. In TWO fee (2) as filed,
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is lating to event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension.	fee in fee (2) as filed,
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 OFE 1.35(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension.	fee in fee (2) as filed,
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check libre box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CPR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension.	fee in fee (2) as filed,
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension	fee in fee (2) as filed, te of
	n fee (2) as filed, te of
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensior under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the explantion date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the dat filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. S Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	IIICE
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	ог
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 	g the
7. \(\subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-20</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be	
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	e a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
See Continuation Sheet	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	
/Cheryl J. Tyler/ /John F Pettitt /	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744 Examiner, Art Unit 3744	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments (page 6, parag. 1) are that the stream entering turbine (24) must be compressed, but compressed, but compressed, but no response to the applicant's arguments, the examiner notes that the argument does not make clear which claim limitation is not met by the prior art, however, assuming that the applicant is asserting that the prior ard to does not show step (b), the examiner disagrees as employment of compression before cooling in portion (20) of second portion (18) does provide for the claimed compression and cooling claimed in step (b). Therefore the alleqation is unpersuasive.

Applicant's arguments (page 6, parag. 2) are that one would not modify Hogg with a booster compressor because of the relative pressures of the medium and mixing columns. In response to the applicant's arguments, the examiner disagrees as a additional compression would mandate the pressures of the columns, therefore such does not preclude the use of an additional compression stage. Further, additional compression would provide the stated benefit of increasing the refrigeration provided by the turbine (24) of Hogg, therefore the argument is unpersuasive.